

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/887,103	Applicant(s) ISHIZUKA, TAKAHIRO
	Examiner Callie E. Shosho	Art Unit 1714

All Participants:

(1) Callie E. Shosho.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(2) Roger Lee.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3,21

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. Part II above.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Callie Shosho

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Lee. The amendment to claim 3 was made to clarify that each of R_{g_0} and R_{g_1} represent the recited groups while the amendment to claim 21 was made to correct the recitation of an improper Markush group .